

Advisory Committee for Fixed Site Enforcement Scheduling
Meeting date: July 7, 2008

Attendance:

Joe Kear, IAM District Lodge 24
Mark Davison, OSIA
Dan Sabatino, Western Partitions, Inc.
Steve Eversmeyer, Port of Portland
Sonny Underwood, Intel Corporation
Matt Thomason, Horizon Air

Marilyn Schuster, OR-OSHA
Gary Beck, OR-OSHA
Ron Preece, OR-OSHA
Peg Munsell, OR-OSHA
Michael Wood, OR-OSHA

- Number of inspections, between 5,000-5,500 of which half consist of complaints, fatalities, referrals, construction and logging. This discussion is for inspections at fixed sites, which would be about 1,250 (1,645 based on updated data) of the total. It would take about 24 years to hit all locations. The current system uses disabling claims rates.
- Looking at criteria that is an indicator of risk. Criteria used; Oregon total case rate (TCR), Oregon dart rank, Federal TCR, Federal dart rank, and Federal fatality rank (these five came from 2006 BLS report). Also used worker compensation numbers for Oregon claims rank and Oregon fatality rank. A run list spreadsheet was provided which is divided into 4 parts. 30% of places of employment would be randomly selected in the first NAICS ranking, 10% in second, 5% in third, with a question about what % if any should be drawn from the remainder of the NAICS? There were comments that all locations should have a potential for inspections.
- OR-OSHA is planning on putting the list of North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) rankings in the actual rule, which would not change for 5-7 years. Would need to be evaluated.
- Suggestion that OR-OSHA should have a method to back-out a place of employment if it demonstrates low risk after 2 or more inspections.
- Discussion on how the list was developed, [see spreadsheet](#). All 7 areas, given the same weighted consideration. Question about why the use of Federal numbers? Answer was that it provided “the power of numbers”. Comment about weighing Oregon TCR and the Oregon dart rate differently, possible 1.5. **Action item:** Ratings on spreadsheet to be recalculated.
- Comment that there are NAICS industries that are number 1 in the rankings but did not end up in the top group. Question was asked if those NAICS industries that are ranked number 1 in one or more of the individual rankings but not appearing in the top group using the combined ranking should automatically be included in the top group. Still open for discussion.
- Discussion on the health aspect of scheduling. Harder to determine where risks exist. A health technical advisory group meeting is set up for July 29.